arXiv:1302.1816v1 [math.AT] 7 Feb 2013

A SPECTRAL SEQUENCE FOR THE COHOMOLOGY OF AN INFINITE LOOP SPACE

RUNE HAUGSENG AND HAYNES R. MILLER

ABSTRACT. We construct a spectral sequence converging to the mod-2 cohomology of the infinite loop space of a connected spectrum. Its E_2-term is given by the derived functors of the free unstable algebra functor, and we describe these in terms of the derived functors of destabilization. In the case of suspension spectra we show that the spectral sequence collapses.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. The Spectral Sequence 2
3. Simplicial Commutative Algebras 4
4. Derived Functors of U 15
5. Example: Suspension Spectra 23
References 25

1. INTRODUCTION

The mod-2 cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*(X)$ of a spectrum X is a module over the Steenrod algebra. On the other hand, the mod-2 cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*(\Omega^\infty X)$ of the associated infinite loop space $\Omega^\infty X$ is an unstable algebra over the Steenrod algebra. The closest algebraic approximation to $\mathrm{H}^*(\Omega^\infty X)$ we can make using the cohomology of X is therefore $UD(\mathrm{H}^*(X))$, where D is the destabilization functor and U forms the free unstable algebra on an unstable A-module. In this paper we study a spectral sequence converging to $\mathrm{H}^*(\Omega^\infty X)$ whose E_2-term is given by the (nonabelian) derived functors of UD applied to $\mathrm{H}^*(X)$.

Our main result is a description of the E_2-term of this spectral sequence in terms of the (abelian) derived functors of destabilization D, which have already been studied by Singer, Lannes and Zarati, Goerss, and others. This follows from a computation of $\pi_* U(M)$ in terms of $\pi_* M$ where M is any simplicial module over the Steenrod algebra, which takes up the bulk of the paper. Applying what is known about the derived functors D we use this to show that, somewhat unexpectedly, the spectral sequence must collapse when X is the suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty Y$ of a connected space Y.

Date: February 8, 2013.

1.1. **Overview.**

We construct the spectral sequence in §2. Then we review background material on simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras in §3, where we also study the spectral sequence associated to a filtered simplicial commutative graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra and set up a "Serre spectral sequence" for cofibration sequences of simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras. In §4 we use this to compute $\pi_* U(M)$ in terms of $\pi_* M$, where M is any simplicial A-module. Finally, we look at the spectral sequence in the case of suspension spectra in §5.

1.2. **Notation.**

- Vect is the category of $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces.
- grVect is the category of graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces.
- If V is an $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space, $s(V)$ is the symmetric algebra and $e(V)$ is the exterior algebra on V.
- If V is a graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space, then $S(V)$ is the *graded* symmetric algebra and $E(V)$ is the *graded* exterior algebra on V.
- If B is an augmented algebra, we denote its augmentation ideal by IB.
- A is the Steenrod algebra at the prime 2.
- Mod_A is the category of (graded) A-modules,
- $\mathcal{U}$ is the category of unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra A, i.e. A-modules M such that if $x \in M_n$ then $\mathrm{Sq}^i x = 0$ for $i > n$.
- $\mathcal{K}$ is the category of unstable algebras over the Steenrod algebra A, i.e. augmented commutative A-algebras R that are unstable as A-modules, with $x^2 = \mathrm{Sq}^n x$ for all $x \in R_n$.
- If V is a simplicial $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space, we write $C(V)$ for the unnormalized chain complex of V and $N(V)$ for the normalized chain complex (i.e.
$$N_k X = \bigcap_{i \neq 0} \ker(d_i \colon X_k \to X_{k-1})$$
with differential d_0).
- If V is an $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space, we write $V[n]$ for the graded vector space with V in degree n and 0 elsewhere, and $V[n,q]$ for the bigraded vector space with V in degree (n,q) and 0 elsewhere.
- If $\mathbf{C}$ is an additive category then $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbf{C})_{\geq 0}$ is the category of non-negatively graded chain complexes in $\mathbf{C}$.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.**

Rune: I thank the American-Scandinavian Foundation and the Norway-America Association for partially supporting me during the time this work was carried out. *Haynes:* I am grateful to the members of the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation at the University of Copenhagen for their hospitality in May, 2011, when the initial stages of this work were carried out. The project also benefited from guidance from Bill Dwyer along the way. This research was carried out in part under NSF grant 0905950.

2. THE SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

Let X be a spectrum. The Eilenberg-MacLane ring spectrum $H\mathbb{F}_2$ gives a cosimplicial spectrum
$$P^\bullet := X \wedge H\mathbb{F}_2^{\wedge \bullet + 1}.$$

The homotopy limit of $P^\bullet$ is the *2-nilpotent completion* $X_2^\wedge$ of X. If X is connective, then by [Bou79, Theorem 6.6] this is equivalent to the $\mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_2$-localization of X; in particular the natural map $X \to X_2^\wedge$ induces an equivalence in $\mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology.

Since the functor Ω^∞ preserves homotopy limits, the cosimplicial space $\Omega^\infty P^\bullet$ has homotopy limit $\Omega^\infty(X_2^\wedge)$. This gives a spectral sequence in cohomology,

$$E_2^{s,t} = \pi_{-s}\mathrm{H}^t(\Omega^\infty P^\bullet) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{t+s}(\Omega^\infty(X_2^\wedge)).$$

If X is a connected spectrum whose homotopy groups are degreewise finitely generated, then this converges by (the dual of) the convergence result of [Bou87, §4.5].

Lemma 2.1. Suppose X is a connected spectrum such that $\pi_* X$ is finitely generated in each degree. Then the map $\Omega^\infty X \to \Omega^\infty(X_2^\wedge)$ induces an equivalence in $\mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology.

Proof. Under the stated assumptions on X the map $X \to X_2^\wedge$ induces an isomorphism $(\pi_* X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^\wedge \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_* X_2^\wedge$, by [Bou79, Proposition 2.5]. Moreover, since X is connected the space $\Omega^\infty X$ is nilpotent and so by [BK72, Example VI.5.2] the map $\Omega^\infty X \to (\Omega^\infty X)_2^\wedge$ also induces an isomorphism $(\pi_*\Omega^\infty X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^\wedge \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_*(\Omega^\infty X)_2^\wedge$. Since $\Omega^\infty(X_2^\wedge)$ is 2-complete, the map $\Omega^\infty X \to \Omega^\infty(X_2^\wedge)$ factors through $(\Omega^\infty X)_2^\wedge$; in the resulting commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \pi_*(\Omega^\infty X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^\wedge \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
\pi_*(\Omega^\infty X)_2^\wedge \arrow[rr] & & \pi_*(\Omega^\infty(X_2^\wedge))
\end{tikzcd}$$

we know that two of the maps are isomorphism, hence the third map must also be an isomorphism. The map $(\Omega^\infty X)_2^\wedge \to \Omega^\infty(X_2^\wedge)$ is therefore a weak equivalence. The result follows since under our assumptions the map $\Omega^\infty X \to (\Omega^\infty X)_2^\wedge$ induces an isomorphism in $\mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology by [BK72, Proposition VI.5.3]. □

Under these finiteness assumptions the spectral sequence thus converges to the mod-2 cohomology of $\Omega^\infty X$.

For any $n > 0$ the spectrum $X \wedge \mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_2^{\wedge n}$ is a wedge of suspensions of Eilenberg-MacLane spectra. Serre's computation of the cohomology of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces gives a description of the $\mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology of these spectra; to state it we must first recall some definitions:

Definition 2.2. We define $D\colon \mathrm{Mod}_A \to \mathcal{U}$ to be the *destabilization* functor; this sends an A-module M to its quotient by the submodule generated by $\mathrm{Sq}^i x$ where $x \in M_n$ and $i > n$; the functor D is left adjoint to the inclusion $\mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_A$. We also define $U\colon \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{K}$ to be the *free unstable algebra* functor; this sends $M \in \mathcal{U}$ to

$$S(M)/(x^2 - \mathrm{Sq}^{|x|}x),$$

where S is the free graded symmetric algebra functor; this functor is left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 2.3 (Serre [Ser53])**.** If M is an Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum such that $\pi_*(M)$ is of finite type, then the natural map $\mathrm{H}^*M \to \mathrm{H}^*(\Omega^\infty M)$ induces an isomorphism $UD(\mathrm{H}^*M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^*(\Omega^\infty M)$.

Using this we can rewrite the E_2-term of our spectral sequence as

$$E_2^{s,t} = \pi_{-s} UD(\mathrm{H}^* P^\bullet)^t.$$

But by the Künneth theorem $\mathrm{H}^*(P^n) \cong \mathrm{H}^*(X) \otimes A^{\otimes n+1}$, so the simplicial A-module $\mathrm{H}^*(P^\bullet)$ is a free resolution of $\mathrm{H}^* X$. The A-modules $\pi_* UD(\mathrm{H}^* P^\bullet)$ can therefore be interpreted as the (nonabelian) derived functors $\mathbb{L}_*(UD)$ of UD evaluated at $\mathrm{H}^* X$. We have thus proved the following:

Theorem 2.4. Suppose X is a connected spectrum whose homotopy groups are degreewise finitely generated. Then there is a convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \mathbb{L}_{-s}(UD)(\mathrm{H}^* X)^t \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{t+s}(\Omega^\infty X).$$

3. SIMPLICIAL COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

In this section we review the background material on simplicial commutative (graded) algebras and related topics we need to carry out the computation of the homotopy of the functor U in the next section. First we review the model category structure on simplicial commutative algebras in §3.1. In §3.2 we review the higher divided square operations in the homotopy of simplicial commutative algebras, and then we recall the description of the homotopy of symmetric and exterior algebras in terms of these operations in §3.3 and extend this to the graded case. Then in §3.4 we consider the interaction between the higher divided square operations and the differentials in the spectral sequence associated to a filtered simplicial commutative algebra. We briefly review the Dold-Kan correspondence in §3.5, and finally in §3.6 we construct a "Serre spectral sequence" for cofibrations of simplicial commutative algebras.

3.1. **Model Category Structure.** We will make use of a model category structure on simplicial augmented commutative graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras. This is an instance of the general model structure constructed by Quillen [Qui67]; this model structure is also described by Miller [Mil84]. For a general description of model categories of simplicial algebras for algebraic theories (in the sense of Lawvere), see Rezk's article [Rez02].

Theorem 3.1. There is a simplicial model category structure on the category of simplicial augmented graded commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras where a morphism is a weak equivalence or fibration if the underlying map of simplicial sets is a weak equivalence or Kan fibration.

Remark 3.2. Since a simplicical graded commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra is a simplicial group, a morphism $f\colon A \to B$ is a fibration if and only if the induced map $A \to B \times_{\pi_0 B} \pi_0 A$ is surjective. In particular, every object is fibrant.

Proposition 3.3. This model structure on simplicial augmented graded commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras is proper.

Proof. This follows from the properness criterion of [Rez02, Theorem 9.1], since polynomial algebras are flat and thus tensoring with them preserves weak equivalences. □

We now recall Miller's description of the cofibrations in this model category; for another description, see [Rez02, Theorem 7.1].

Definition 3.4. A morphism $f\colon A \to B$ is *almost-free* if for every $n \geq 0$ there is a subspace $V_n \subseteq IB_n$ and maps

$$\delta_i\colon V_n \to V_{n-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$\sigma_i\colon V_n \to V_{n+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n,$$

so that the induced map $A_n \otimes S(V_n) \to B_n$ is an isomorphism for all n and the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_n \otimes S(V_n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "d_i \otimes S(\delta_i)"'] & B_n \arrow[d, "d_i"] \\
A_{n-1} \otimes S(V_{n-1}) \arrow[r] & B_{n-1},
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_n \otimes S(V_n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "s_i \otimes S(\sigma_i)"'] & B_n \arrow[d, "s_i"] \\
A_{n+1} \otimes S(V_{n+1}) \arrow[r] & B_{n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

In other words, all the face and degeneracy maps *except* d_0 are induced from maps between the V_n's.

Remark 3.5. The definition of almost free morphisms in [Mil84] is wrong and was corrected in [Mil85].

Theorem 3.6 (Miller, [Mil84, Corollary 3.5])**.** A morphism of simplicial augmented commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras is a cofibration if and only if it is a retract of an almost-free morphism.

3.2. **Operations for Simplicial Commutative Algebras.** In this subsection we review Dwyer's construction [Dwy80a] of *higher divided square* operations on the homotopy groups of simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras. These were initially introduced by Cartan [Car54] and also studied by Bousfield [Bou67].

Theorem 3.7 (Eilenberg-Zilber)**.** For simplicial $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces V and W, there is a unique natural chain map $D\colon C(V) \otimes C(W) \to N(V \otimes W)$ that is the identity in degree 0. Moreover, the map D is a chain homotopy equivalence.

Definition 3.8. Suppose V and W are simplicial $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces. Let

$$\phi_n\colon C(V) \otimes C(W) \to N(V \otimes W)$$

be the degree-$(-n)$ map of graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces given by

$$\phi_n(v \otimes w) = \begin{cases} \text{residue class of } v \otimes w \text{ in } N(V \otimes W)_n, & \text{if } \deg v = \deg w = n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.9. Here we are regarding $N(V \otimes W)$ as a quotient of $C(V \otimes W)$ via the standard isomorphism $N(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(X)/D(X)$ for a simplicial vector space X, where $D_n(X) := \sum s_i(X_{n-1}) \subseteq X_n$.

Theorem 3.10 (Dwyer [Dwy80a, Proposition 3.3])**.** For simplicial $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces V and W, there exist natural linear maps

$$D^k\colon (C(V)\otimes C(W))_{i+k} \to N(V\otimes W)_i, \quad 0 \le k \le i$$

such that

(i) $D^0 + TD^0T + \phi_0 = D$,
(ii) $D^k\partial + \partial D^k = D^{k+1} + TD^{k+1}T + \phi_{k+1}$, $k \ge 0$,

where D denotes the Eilenberg-Zilber map and we write T for the switching map $C(V)\otimes C(W) \to C(W)\otimes C(V)$ or $N(V\otimes W) \to N(W\otimes V)$.

Definition 3.11. Suppose V is a simplicial $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space. There is a natural quotient map $\rho\colon N(V\otimes V) \to N(V^{\otimes 2}_{\Sigma_2})$, and we define maps $\gamma_i\colon C(V)_n \to N(V^{\otimes 2}_{\Sigma_2})_{n+i}$ by

$$\gamma_i(a) = \begin{cases} \rho D^{n-i}(a\otimes a) + \rho D^{n-i-1}(a\otimes \partial a), & i < n \\ \rho D^0(a\otimes a), & i = n \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.12. Note that these maps of chain complexes are clearly not linear. Dwyer's definition in [Dwy80a] is slightly different from ours — in the case $i < n$ it only has the first term. The variant we use is due to Goerss [Goe90] and makes these chain-level maps interact better with the boundary map.

Proposition 3.13. Let V be a simplicial $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space.

(i) We have

$$\partial\gamma_i(a) = \begin{cases} \gamma_i(\partial a), & n > i > 1, \\ \gamma_1(\partial a) + \rho(a\otimes a), & i = 1, n > 1, \\ \rho D(a\otimes \partial a), & i = n > 1, \\ \rho D(a\otimes \partial a) + \rho(a\otimes a) & n = i = 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $\partial a = 0$ then

$$\partial\gamma_i(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & i > 1, \\ \rho(a\otimes a), & i = 1. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For $2 \le i \le n$, γ_i descends to a map $\pi_n(V) \to \pi_{n+i}(V^{\otimes 2}_{\Sigma_2})$.

We include a proof since we will need to understand the chain-level behaviour in the case $i = 1$, which is not spelled out in the references:

Proof. Write $\gamma_i'(a) := \rho D^{n-i}(a\otimes a)$ and $\gamma_i''(a) := \rho D^{n-i-1}(a\otimes \partial a)$. Since ρ is a chain map and $\rho T = \rho$, from Theorem 3.10 (ii) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial\gamma_i'(a) &= \rho D^{n-i}\partial(a\otimes a) + \rho D^{n-i+1}(a\otimes a) + \rho T D^{n-i+1}T(a\otimes a) + \rho\phi_{n-i+1}(a\otimes a) \\
&= \rho D^{n-i}(a\otimes \partial a + \partial a\otimes a) + 2\rho D^{n-i+1}(a\otimes a) + \rho\phi_{n-i+1}(a\otimes a) \\
&= \rho(D^{n-i} + TD^{n-i}T)(a\otimes \partial a) + \rho\phi_{n-i+1}(a\otimes a) \\
&= \rho(D^{n-i-1}\partial + \partial D^{n-i-1})(a\otimes \partial a) + \rho\phi_{n-i}(a\otimes \partial a) + \rho\phi_{n-i+1}(a\otimes a) \\
&= \rho D^{n-i-1}(\partial a\otimes \partial a) + \partial\rho D^{n-i-1}(a\otimes \partial a) + \rho\phi_{n-i+1}(a\otimes a) \\
&= \gamma_i'(\partial a) + \partial\gamma_i''(a) + \rho\phi_{n-i+1}(a\otimes a).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma_i''(\partial a) = 0$, for $i < n$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\gamma_i(a) &= \partial\gamma_i'(a) + \partial\gamma_i''(a) = \gamma_i'(\partial a) + \gamma_i''(\partial a) + \phi_{n-i+1}(a \otimes a)\\ &= \begin{cases}\gamma_i(\partial a), & i \neq 1,\\ \gamma_1(\partial a) + \rho(a\otimes a), & i = 1.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

For $i = n$ we use Theorem 3.10(i) and (ii) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\gamma_n(a) &= \rho D^0\partial(a\otimes a) + \rho D^1(a\otimes a) + \rho T D^1 T(a\otimes a) + \rho\phi_1(a\otimes a)\\ &= \rho D^0(a\otimes\partial a + \partial a\otimes a) + 2\rho D^1(a\otimes a) + \rho\phi_1(a\otimes a)\\ &= \rho(D^0 + TD^0T)(a\otimes\partial a) + \rho\phi_1(a\otimes a)\\ &= \rho D(a\otimes\partial a) + \rho\phi_0(a\otimes\partial a) + \rho\phi_1(a\otimes a)\\ &= \begin{cases}\rho D(a\otimes\partial a), & n\neq 1,\\ \rho D(a\otimes\partial a) + \rho(a\otimes a), & n = 1.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

This proves (i). Since the maps γ_i are not linear this does not imply (ii), but this follows from a similar calculation. □

Definition 3.14. Suppose A is a simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra, with multiplication $\mu\colon A^{\otimes 2}_{\Sigma_2} \to A$. Define $\delta_i\colon C(A)_n \to N(A)_{n+i}$ by

$$\delta_i := \mu\circ\gamma_i.$$

Corollary 3.15. Let A be a simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra. Then

$$\partial\delta_i(a) = \begin{cases}\delta_i(\partial a), & n > i > 1,\\ \delta_1(\partial a) + a^2, & i = 1, n > 1,\\ a\,\partial a, & i = n > 1,\\ a\,\partial a + a^2, & n = i = 1.\end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.16. If A is a simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra, there are *higher divided square* operations $\delta_i\colon \pi_n(A) \to \pi_{n+i}(A)$ for $2 \le i \le n$. If $a^2 = 0$ for all $a \in A$, there is also an operation $\delta_1\colon \pi_n(A) \to \pi_{n+1}(A)$ for $n \ge 1$.

Remark 3.17. Although the maps D^k are not unique, the operations δ_i on homotopy are independent of this choice.

Theorem 3.18 (Bousfield [Bou67], Dwyer [Dwy80a])**.** Suppose A is a simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra. Then these operations have the following properties:

(i) $\delta_i\colon \pi_n A \to \pi_{n+i}A$ is an additive homomorphism for $2 \le i < n$, and δ_n satisfies

$$\delta_n(x+y) = \delta_n(x) + \delta_n(y) + xy.$$

(ii) δ_i acts on products as follows:

$$\delta_i(xy) = \begin{cases}x^2\delta_i(y), & x\in\pi_0 A,\\ y^2\delta_i(x), & y\in\pi_0 A,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

(iii) ("Adém relations") If $i < 2j$ then

$$\delta_i\delta_j(x) = \sum_{(i+1)/2 \leq s \leq (i+j)/3} \binom{j-i+s-1}{j-s} \delta_{i+j-s}\delta_s(x).$$

Remark 3.19. We will observe below (in Corollary 3.27) that $x^2 = 0$ for any x in π_*A in positive degree. Thus (i) and (ii) imply that the top operation δ_n on π_n is a divided square, whence the name "higher divided squares" for the δ_i-operations.

Definition 3.20. A sequence $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ is *admissible* if $i_t \geq 2i_{t+1}$ for all t. A composite $\delta_I := \delta_{i_1}\delta_{i_2}\cdots\delta_{i_k}$ is *admissible* if I is.

Remark 3.21. The upper bound in the "Adém relation" above differs from that in [Dwy80a], which does not give a sum of admissible operations; this form of the relation was proved by Goerss and Lada [GL95] and implies, by the same proof as for Steenrod operations, that composites of δ-operations are spanned by admissible composites.

Corollary 3.22. Any composite of δ-operations can be written as a sum of admissible ones.

We end by observing how these operations work in the graded case:

Definition 3.23. Suppose A is a simplicial graded commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra, with multiplication maps $\mu\colon A^p \otimes A^q \to A^{p+q}$. Then we define operations

$$\delta_i\colon C(A)^s_n \to N(A)^{2s}_{n+i}$$

as the composites

$$C(A)^s_n = C(A^s)_n \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} N((A^s)^{\otimes 2}_{\Sigma_2})_{n+i} \xrightarrow{\mu} N(A^{2s})_{n+i},$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. These satisfy exactly the same relations as in the ungraded case, and we get operations

$$\delta_i\colon (\pi_n A)^s \to (\pi_{n+i}A)^{2s}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq n$.

3.3. **Homotopy of Symmetric and Exterior Algebras.**

Dwyer proves Theorem 3.18 by computing the homotopy groups in the universal case, namely the symmetric algebra $s(V)$ on a simplicial vector space V. In this subsection we recall the result of this computation, as well as the analogous result for exterior algebras (both of which are originally due to Bousfield [Bou67]), and observe how these generalize to the graded case.

To state the result we make use of the following result of Dold:

Theorem 3.24 (Dold [Dol58, 5.17])**.** For any functor $F\colon \mathrm{Vect}^{\times n} \to \mathrm{Vect}$ there exists a functor $\mathfrak{F}\colon \mathrm{grVect}^{\times n} \to \mathrm{grVect}$ such that if $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ are simplicial vector spaces, then

$$\pi_*F(V_1, \ldots V_n) = \mathfrak{F}(\pi_*V_1, \ldots, \pi_*V_n)$$

(where on the left-hand side we take the homotopy of the diagonal of the multisimplicial vector space $F(V_1, \ldots V_n)$).

Example 3.25. The Eilenberg-Zilber theorem implies that if F is the tensor product functor, then $\mathfrak{F}$ is the graded tensor product of graded vector spaces.

It follows that there exists a functor $\mathfrak{s}$ such that $\pi_* s(V) = \mathfrak{s}(\pi_* V)$. This has the following description:

Theorem 3.26 (Bousfield [Bou67], Dwyer [Dwy80a])**.** The functor $\mathfrak{s}$ sends a graded vector space V to the graded commutative algebra generated by $v \in V$ and symbols $\delta_I v$ in degree $|v| + i_1 + \cdots + i_k$ for admissible sequences $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ with $i_k \geq 2$ of *excess* $e(I) := i_1 - i_2 - \cdots - i_k$ at most $|v|$, with the relations stated in Theorem 3.18 above as well as the relation $x^2 = 0$ for all x of degree > 0.

Corollary 3.27. Let A be a simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra. Then $x^2 = 0$ for any $x \in \pi_* A$ in degree > 0.

Let $s_k(V)$ be the subspace of the symmetric algebra $s(V)$ spanned by products of length k. Then the functor $\mathfrak{s}_k$ such that $\pi_* s_k(V) = \mathfrak{s}_k(\pi_* V)$ is also known:

Theorem 3.28. Suppose V is a graded vector space. Define inductively a weight function on products $\delta_{I_1}(v_1) \cdots \delta_{I_n}(v_n)$ where $v_i \in V$ and the I_i's are admissible sequences by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{wt}(v) &= 1 \text{ for } v \text{ in } V, \\ \mathrm{wt}(xy) &= \mathrm{wt}(x) + \mathrm{wt}(y), \\ \mathrm{wt}(\delta_i(x)) &= 2\mathrm{wt}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathfrak{s}_k(V)$ is the subspace of $\mathfrak{s}(V)$ spanned by elements of weight k.

Next we state the analogous results for the exterior algebra $e(V)$ and its subspace $e_k(V)$ of products of length k. Write $\mathfrak{e}$ and $\mathfrak{e}_k$ for the functors such that $\pi_* e(V) = \mathfrak{e}(\pi_* V)$ and $\pi_* e_k(V) = \mathfrak{e}_k(\pi_* V)$ for a simplicial $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space V.

Theorem 3.29 (Bousfield)**.** If V is a graded vector space then $\mathfrak{e}V$ is the graded exterior algebra generated by v in V and symbols $\delta_I v$ in degree $|v| + i_1 + \cdots + i_k$ for admissible sequences $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ (now with $i_k \geq 1$) of excess less than or equal to $|v|$; these satisfy the same relations as for the symmetric algebra. Moreover, $\mathfrak{e}_k V$ is the subspace of $\mathfrak{e}V$ spanned by elements of weight k, where the weight is defined in the same way as before.

Now we consider the graded case. If V is a graded vector space, we write $S(V)$ for the graded symmetric algebra on V, and $S_n(V)$ for the subspace spanned by products of length n. Then we have

$$S(V)^k = \bigoplus_{\substack{k = a_1 t_1 + \cdots + a_r t_r \\ 0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_r}} s_{t_1}(V^{a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{t_r}(V^{a_r}),$$

$$S_n(V)^k = \bigoplus_{\substack{k = a_1 t_1 + \cdots + a_r t_r \\ n = t_1 + \cdots + t_r \\ 0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_r}} s_{t_1}(V^{a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{t_r}(V^{a_r}),$$

Since the degreewise tensor product of simplicial vector spaces corresponds to the graded tensor product of graded vector spaces on π_*, we get the following:

Lemma 3.30. If V is a simplicial graded vector space, then $\pi_* S(V)^k$ is $\mathfrak{S}^k(\pi_* V)$ and $\pi_* S_n(V)^k = \mathfrak{S}^k_n(\pi_* V)$, where $\mathfrak{S}^k \colon \mathrm{gr}^2\mathrm{Vect} \to \mathrm{grVect}$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{S}^k(V) = \bigoplus_{\substack{k = a_1 t_1 + \cdots + a_r t_r \\ 0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_r}} (\mathfrak{s}_{t_1}(V^{a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{s}_{t_r}(V^{a_r})),$$

and $\mathfrak{S}_n^k$ is defined similarly.

Define $\mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n$ by $\mathfrak{S}(W)^i_j = \mathfrak{S}^i(W)_j$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n(W)^i_j = \mathfrak{S}^i_n(W)_j$. Then we have $\pi_* S(V) = \mathfrak{S}(\pi_* V)$ and $\pi_* S_n(V) = \mathfrak{S}_n(\pi_* V)$ when V is a simplicial graded vector space.

From the description of $\mathfrak{s}_k$ above we get:

Proposition 3.31. For V a bigraded vector space, the bigraded vector space $\mathfrak{S}(V)$ is spanned by products of elements $\delta_I(v)$ for $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ an admissible sequence with $i_k \geq 2$. If v is in degree (i, j) then this is in degree $(2^k i, j + i_1 + \cdots + i_k)$. These satisfy the same relations as before. Moreover, $\mathfrak{S}_n(V)$ is spanned by products of such elements of weight n, where the weight is defined just as in the ungraded case.

Write $E(V)$ for the graded exterior algebra on a graded vector space V, and $E_n(V)$ for its subspace spanned by products of length n. Exactly the same construction, with e_k in place of s_k, defines functors $\mathfrak{E}$ and $\mathfrak{E}_n$ such that $\pi_* E(V) = \mathfrak{E}(\pi_* V)$ and $\pi_* E_n(V) = \mathfrak{E}_n(\pi_* V)$ for V a simplicial graded vector space. These have the following description:

Proposition 3.32. For V a bigraded vector space, the bigraded vector space $\mathfrak{E}(W)$ for a bigraded vector space W is the exterior algebra on symbols $\delta_I v$ where I is an admissible sequence, satisfying the same relations as before. Moreover, $\mathfrak{E}_n(W)$ is spanned by the products of these of weight n.

3.4. **Filtered Simplicial Commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-Algebras.** In this subsection we consider how the operations described above relate to the spectral sequence associated to a filtered simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra. Specifically, we consider a simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra A (possibly graded) equipped with an increasing filtration

$$\cdots \subseteq F_p A \subseteq F_{p+1} A \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A$$

such that $F_p A \cdot F_q A \subseteq F_{p+q} A$. We have omitted the internal grading from the notation in this section; this should not cause any confusion.

Write $E^0_p A$ for the filtration quotient $F_p A / F_{p-1} A$. Then the spectral sequence associated to the filtration is of the form

$$E^1_{s,t} = \pi_t E^0_s A \Rightarrow \pi_t A$$

(using the grading most suited to the δ-operations).

Definition 3.33. Write μ_p for the multiplication map $(F_p A)^{\otimes 2}_{\Sigma_2} \to F_{2p} A$ and μ'_p for the induced multiplication $(E^0_p A)^{\otimes 2}_{\Sigma_2} \to E^0_{2p} A$. Using the notation of §3.2, we define

$$\delta^p_i = \mu_p \circ \gamma_i \colon \pi_n F_p A \to \pi_{n+i} F_{2p} A,$$

$$\delta'^p_i = \mu'_p \circ \gamma_i \colon \pi_n E^0_p A \to \pi_{n+i} E^0_{2p} A.$$

These δ-operations are compatible with each other and with the δ-operations on $\pi_* A$ in the following sense:

Lemma 3.34. The following diagram commutes, with i_* and q_* denoting the obvious inclusion and quotient maps:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_n A \arrow[r, "\delta_i"] & \pi_{n+i} A \\
\pi_n F_p A \arrow[u, "i_p"] \arrow[r, "\delta_i^p"] \arrow[d, "q_p"'] & \pi_{n+i} F_{2p} A \arrow[u, "i_{2p}"'] \arrow[d, "q_{2p}"] \\
\pi_n E^0_p A \arrow[r, "\delta_i'^p"] & \pi_{n+i} E^0_{2p} A
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This is immediate from the definitions of the horizontal maps, since the γ_i's are natural: For the top square we have

$$i_{2p}\delta_i^p = i_{2p}\mu_p\gamma_i = \mu(i_p)^{\otimes 2}_{\Sigma_2}\gamma_i = \mu\gamma_i i_p = \delta_i i_p,$$

and similarly for the other square. □

Given this compatibility, we can without ambiguity refer to these operations as δ_i as well.

We can now show how the δ-operations behave in the associated spectral sequence. Roughly speaking if a is in degree n we have

$$d^{2i}(\delta_k a) = \delta_k(d^i a), \quad 2 \leq k < n$$
$$d^i(\delta_n a) = a d^i(a).$$

More precisely, we have the following observation about the δ-operations on the E^1-page:

Lemma 3.35. Suppose $x \in E^1_{s,t}$ and $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is an admissible sequence of excess $\leq t$ with $i_k \geq 2$. Write $I_m = (i_m, \dots, i_k)$. Admissibility implies that if δ_{i_m} is the top operation on $\delta_{I_{m+1}} x$ then $\delta_{i_{m-1}}$ is the top operation on $\delta_{I_m} x$. Let M be the largest index such that δ_{i_M} is maximal, or 0 if none of the operations is maximal, and let $q := k - M$. Then we have:

(i) $\delta_I x$ survives to $E^{2^q}_{2^k s, t+|I|}$.
(ii) $d^{2^q}[\delta_I x] = [(\delta_{I_2} x)(\delta_{I_3} x) \cdots (\delta_{I_{M+1}} x)(\delta_{I_{M+1}} d^1 x)]$ (with no indeterminacy).

Proof. Let ξ be a representative of x in $F_s A$. Then $\delta_I \xi$ is in $F_{2^k s} A$. Recall that if η is in degree d we have

$$\partial\delta_i\eta = \delta_i(\partial\eta), \quad i < d$$
$$\partial\delta_d\eta = \eta \cdot \partial\eta,$$

so

$$\partial\delta_I\xi = (\delta_{I_2}\xi)(\delta_{I_3}\xi)\cdots(\delta_{I_{M+1}}\xi)(\delta_{I_{M+1}}\partial\xi).$$

Since $\partial\xi$ is in $F_{s-1}A$, this product has filtration

$$2^{k-1}s + 2^{k-2}s + \cdots + 2^{k-M}s + 2^{k-M}(s-1) = 2^k s - 2^q, \qquad \square$$

and so $\delta_I x$ survives to E^{2^q} and $d^{2^q}\delta_I x$ has the stated form.

Remark 3.36. It is also possible to define operations on each page of the spectral sequence, up to indeterminacy, analogously to what Goerss does for Quillen's spectral sequence for André-Quillen cohomology in [Goe90, §6].

Definition 3.37. Let A be a filtered augmented simplicial commutative algebra. The filtration of A is *exterior* if for every $x \in A_p$ in the kernel of the augmentation we have $x^2 \in A_{2p-1} \subseteq A_{2p}$.

If the filtration on A is exterior, then every a in $E^0_* A$ satisfies $a^2 = 0$, so $E^0_* A$ supports a δ_1-operation. This gives an operation on the E^1-term, in the same way as we got δ_i's for $i > 1$ above:

Lemma 3.38. *If the filtration is exterior, there are operations $\delta_1 \colon E^1_{s,t} \to E^1_{2s,t+1}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d^1\delta_1(x) &= x^2 + \delta_1(d^1 x), \quad t > 1, \\ d^1\delta_1(x) &= x^2 + x d^1(x), \quad t = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.39. In fact there will be partially defined δ_1-operations on the E^r-term for $r > 1$ (defined on classes whose squares lift sufficiently far), but we will not need these.

3.5. **The Dold-Kan Correspondence.** Here we briefly review the *Dold-Kan correspondence* between simplicial objects and chain complexes in an abelian category, and observe that this preserves derived tensor products of modules over algebras. In our computation of the derived functors of U below we will make use of this to reduce the computation to a few simple cases, based on the structure of chain complexes in a certain abelian category.

Definition 3.40. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an abelian category. The *normalized chain complex* functor $N \colon \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{C}) \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbf{C})_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$N_k X = \bigcap_{i \neq 0} \ker(d_i \colon X_k \to X_{k-1}),$$

with differential the remaining face map d_0.

Definition 3.41. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an abelian category. The *Dold-Kan construction*

$$K \colon \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbf{C})_{\geq 0} \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{C})$$

sends a non-negatively graded chain complex A to the simplicial object $K(A)$ defined as follows: We set

$$K(A)_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha \colon [n] \twoheadrightarrow [k]} A_k.$$

Then a map $K(A)_n \to K(A)_m$ is described by a "matrix" of maps $f_{\alpha,\beta} \colon A_k \to A_l$ from the component corresponding to $\alpha \colon [n] \twoheadrightarrow [k]$ to that corresponding to $\beta \colon [m] \twoheadrightarrow [l]$. To define the map $\phi^* \colon K(A)_n \to K(A)_m$ corresponding to $\phi \colon [m] \to [n]$ in $\mathbf{\Delta}$ we take this to be given by

$$f_{\alpha,\beta} := \begin{cases} \mathrm{id}, & l = k, \beta = \alpha\phi \\ d, & l = k-1, d^0\beta = \alpha\phi \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.42 (Dold [Dol58], Dold-Puppe [DP61], Kan [Kan58])**.** *The functor N is an equivalence of categories with inverse K.*

This extends to a Quillen equivalence of model categories (cf. [SS03, §4]), where the weak equivalences are the π_*-isomorphisms and the quasi-isomorphisms. Using these model structures we can define *derived tensor products* as follows:

Definition 3.43. If A is a simplicial graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra, M is a right A-module, and N is a left A-module, then the *derived tensor product* $M \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A N$ is the homotopy colimit of the simplicial diagram given by the bar construction, $M \otimes A^{\otimes \bullet} \otimes N$. Similarly, if A is an algebra in chain complexes of graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces, M is a right A-module, and N is a left A-module, we define a derived tensor product $M \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A N$ as the analogous homotopy colimit.

Remark 3.44. In the simplicial case, the homotopy colimit is given by the diagonal of the bar construction.

Remark 3.45. If M is a cofibrant A-module, then for any N the derived tensor product $M \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A N$ is equivalent to the ordinary tensor product $M \otimes_A N$.

Lemma 3.46. Let A be a simplicial graded algebra, X a right A-module, and Y a left A-module. There is a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$N(X) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{N(A)} N(Y) \to N(X \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A Y).$$

Proof. There is a natural quasi-isomorphism $N(U) \otimes N(V) \to N(U \otimes V)$ for any simplicial abelian groups U and V. Thus there is a natural transformation of simplicial diagrams $N(X \otimes A^{\otimes \bullet} \otimes Y) \to NX \otimes (NA)^{\otimes \bullet} \otimes NY$ that is a quasi-isomorphism levelwise. This implies that the induced map on homotopy colimits is also a quasi-isomorphism. □

3.6. **A Serre Spectral Sequence for Simplicial Commutative Algebras.** In this subsection we construct a multiplicative "Serre spectral sequence" for the homotopy groups of the cofibre of a cofibration of simplicial commutative algebras. We derive this by studying a spectral sequence for filtered modules over a filtered differential graded algebra. Our spectral sequence has the same form as one constructed by Quillen [Qui67], but his construction does not give the multiplicative structure.

Definition 3.47. If $A^1, \dots, A^k$ are filtered chain complexes of abelian groups, we define a filtration on $A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A^k$ by letting $F_n(A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A^k)$ be the image of

$$\bigoplus_{j_1+\ldots+j_k=n} F_{j_1}A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{j_k}A^k \to A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A^k.$$

Now suppose A is a filtered differential graded algebra and B and C are filtered A-modules. Then we define a filtration on the tensor product $B \otimes_A C$ by setting $F_n(B \otimes_A C)$ to be the coequalizer of

$$F_n(B \otimes A \otimes C) \rightrightarrows F_n(B \otimes C),$$

which is a subobject of $B \otimes_A C$ since this is the coequalizer of $B \otimes A \otimes C \rightrightarrows B \otimes C$.

Lemma 3.48. Suppose A is a filtered differential graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebra and B and C are filtered A-modules.

(i) The spectral sequence associated to the filtration of $B \otimes_A C$ has E^1-term the tensor product of associated graded modules $E^0_*B \otimes_{E^0_*A} E^0_*C$.

(ii) Suppose B and C are filtered A-algebras. Then $B \otimes_A C$ is also a filtered algebra.
(iii) If B and C are filtered A-algebras, then the spectral sequence associated to the filtration of $B \otimes_A C$ is multiplicative.

Proof.

(i) Since colimits commute, the filtration quotient $E^0_n(B \otimes_A C)$ can be identified with the coequalizer of
$$E^0_n(B \otimes A \otimes C) \rightrightarrows E^0_n(B \otimes C).$$
In general if $A^1, \dots, A^k$ are filtered chain complexes of $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces then it is clear (since we're working over a field) that we can identify the filtration quotient $E^0_n(A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A^k)$ with
$$\bigoplus_{j_1+\ldots+j_k=n} E^0_{j_1}A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E^0_{j_k}A^k.$$
Thus in our case the coequalizer is precisely $(E^0_*B \otimes_{E^0_*A} E^0_*C)_n$.
(ii) It is clear that $B \otimes A \otimes C$ and $B \otimes C$ are filtered algebras, hence so is $B \otimes_A C$.
(iii) Immediate from (ii).

□

Proposition 3.49. Suppose A is a differential graded algebra and B and C are A-modules. Filter A and B by degree, and give C the trivial filtration with $F_pC = C$ for all $p \geq 0$. Then in the spectral sequence associated to the induced filtration on $B \otimes_A C$ we have

(i) $E^1_{s,t} = (B \otimes_A \pi_{t-s}C)_s$, where A acts on π_*C via the map $A \to \pi_0 A$.
(ii) $E^2_{s,t} = \pi_s(B \otimes_A \pi_{t-s}C)$.

Proof. The graded tensor product $E^0_*B \otimes_{E^0_*A} E^0_*C$ has in degree (s,t) the coequalizer of
$$\bigoplus_{\substack{i+j+k=s \\ \rho+\sigma+\tau=t}} E^0_iB_\rho \otimes E^0_jA_\sigma \otimes E^0_kC_\tau \rightrightarrows \bigoplus_{\substack{m+n=s \\ \alpha+\beta=t}} E^0_mB_\alpha \otimes E^0_nC_\beta.$$
In our case $E^0_lB_\gamma$ and $E^0_lA_\gamma$ are zero unless $l = \gamma$, and $E^0_lC_\gamma$ is zero unless $l = 0$. Thus removing the zero terms we get the coequalizer of
$$\bigoplus_{i+j=s} B_i \otimes A_j \otimes C_{t-s} \rightrightarrows B_s \otimes C_{t-s}.$$
Now observe that the map $A_j \otimes C_{t-s} \to C_{t-s}$ is zero unless $j = 0$, since A_j is in filtration j and so the product must lie in $(E^0_jC)_{t-s} = 0$. Thus we can describe this coequalizer as killing all elements of the form $a \cdot b$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, giving $(B \otimes_A \pi_0A)_s \otimes_{\pi_0A} C_{t-s} \cong (B \otimes_{\pi_0A} C_{t-s})_s$.

The differential in $B \otimes_A C$ satisfies the Leibniz rule, so if $b \otimes c$ is in filtration s then $d(b \otimes c) = db \otimes c + b \otimes dc$. Here db is in lower filtration than b, since it is in lower degree, hence d_1 comes from the differential in C. Thus
$$E^1_{s,t} \cong (B \otimes_{\pi_0A} \pi_{t-s}C)_s.$$
Similarly, the next differential d_2 comes from the differential in B, giving
$$E^2_{s,t} \cong \pi_s(B \otimes_{\pi_0A} \pi_{t-s}C).$$
□

Corollary 3.50. Suppose given simplicial augmented graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras A, B, and C, and maps $A \to B$ and $A \to C$. Then there is a multiplicative spectral sequence

$$E^2_{s,t} = \pi_s(B \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \pi_{t-s}(C)) \Rightarrow \pi_t(B \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A C).$$

Proof. Let P be a cofibrant replacement for NB as an NA-module. Then by Proposition 3.49 we have a spectral sequence

$$E^2_{s,t} = \pi_s(P \otimes_{NA} \pi_{t-s} NC) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(P \otimes_{NA} NC),$$

which is multiplicative by Lemma 3.48. Since P is cofibrant we can write this as

$$E^2_{s,t} = \pi_s(NB \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{NA} \pi_{t-s} NC) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(NB \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{NA} NC).$$

By Lemma 3.46 we have natural quasi-isomorphisms $NB \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{NA} NC \to N(B \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A C)$ and $NB \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{NA} \pi_{t-s} NC \to N(B \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \pi_{t-s} C)$ (since $\pi_{t-s} NC \cong \pi_{t-s} C$ is concentrated in a single degree), so this is naturally isomorphic to

$$E^2_{s,t} = \pi_s(B \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \pi_{t-s} C) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(B \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A C). \qquad \square$$

Corollary 3.51 ("Serre Spectral Sequence")**.** Suppose $f\colon A \to B$ is a cofibration of simplicial augmented graded commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras with cofibre C and $\pi_0 A = \mathbb{F}_2$. Then there is a multiplicative spectral sequence

$$\pi_s(C) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \pi_{t-s}(A) \Rightarrow \pi_t(B).$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.50 there is a multiplicative spectral sequence

$$E^2_{s,t} = \pi_s(B \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \pi_{t-s} A) \Rightarrow \pi_t(B).$$

By definition $C \simeq B \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_2$, and so

$$C \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \pi_t A \cong (B \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \pi_t A \cong B \otimes_A \pi_t A,$$

which is isomorphic to $B \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \pi_t A$ since $A \to B$ is a cofibration and the model structure on simplicial commutative algebras is left proper by Proposition 3.3. Since $\mathbb{F}_2$ is a field we have $\pi_s(C \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \pi_{t-s} A) \cong \pi_s C \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \pi_{t-s} A$, and so we can rewrite the E^2-term of the spectral sequence as $E^2_{s,t} \cong \pi_s(C) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \pi_{t-s}(A)$. $\square$

4. DERIVED FUNCTORS OF U

Our goal in this section is to compute $\pi_* U(M)$ where M is a simplicial unstable A-module. As a simplicial commutative algebra $U(M)$ depends only on the top non-zero Steenrod operations in M; in §4.1 we consider graded vector spaces equipped with only these operations, which we call *restricted vector spaces*, and study their structure. Then in §4.2 we compute the spectral sequence induced by the word length filtration on $U(M)$, which gives $\pi_* U(M)$ as a bigraded vector space. Finally in §4.3 we describe $\pi_* U(M)$ as an algebra with higher divided square operations by reducing to a few simple cases.

4.1. **Restricted Vector Spaces.**

In this subsection we define restricted vector spaces and make some observations about their structure — in particular, we prove that a restricted vector space always has a basis — and then show that a choice of basis for the homology of a chain complex of restricted vector spaces determines a decomposition of the chain complex up to quasi-isomorphism as a direct sum of certain very simple complexes.

Definition 4.1. A *restricted vector space* (over $\mathbb{F}_2$) is a non-negatively graded vector space V equipped with linear maps $\phi_i \colon V^i \to V^{2i}$ for all i, called the *restriction maps* of V, such that $\phi_0 \colon V^0 \to V^0$ is the identity. A homomorphism of restricted vector spaces $f \colon V \to W$ is a homomorphism of graded vector spaces such that $\phi_i f^i = f^{2i}\phi_i$ for all i. We write Restr for the category of restricted vector spaces and restricted vector space homomorphisms.

Proposition 4.2. Restr is an abelian category.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the category with objects $0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and a unique morphism $i \to 2^r i$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and all r. Then Restr is the functor category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{I}, \mathrm{Vect})$, and the category of functors from a small category to an abelian category is always abelian. □

Definition 4.3. A restricted vector space V is *free* if ϕ is injective, and *nilpotent* if for every $v \in V$ we have $\phi^n v = 0$ for some n (so in particular $V_0 = 0$).

Definition 4.4. Let $F(n)$ be the free restricted vector space with one generator ι_n in degree n. More precisely, $F(n)^{2^r n} = \mathbb{F}_2$ with $\phi_{2^r n} = \mathrm{id}$ and $F(n)^i = 0$ otherwise.

For $n > 0$ let $T(n,k)$ be the nilpotent restricted vector space with one generator $\iota_{n,k}$ in degree n subject to $\phi^k \iota_{n,k} = 0$, i.e. $F(n)/\phi^k$. More precisely, $T(n,k)^{2^r n} = \mathbb{F}_2$ for $r = 0, \ldots, k$ with $\phi_{2^r n} = \mathrm{id}$ for $r = 0, \ldots, k-1$, and $T(n,k)^i$ is 0 otherwise.

Our first goal in this section is to prove that any restricted vector space can be decomposed as a direct sum of $F(n)$'s and $T(n,k)$'s. We begin with some linear algebra:

Lemma 4.5. Let W_1 and W_2 be vector spaces, and let $F \colon W_1 \to W_2$ be a linear map. Suppose $V_1 \subseteq W_1$ and $V_2 \subseteq W_2$ are subspaces such that $F(V_1) \subseteq F(V_2)$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) Given any splitting $W_1 \cong V_1 \oplus U_1$ there exists a complement U_2 of V_2 in W_2 such that $F = F_V \oplus F_U$ for maps $F_V \colon V_1 \to V_2$ and $F_U \colon U_1 \to U_2$.

(ii) There exist splittings $W_1 \cong V_1 \oplus U_1$ and $W_2 \cong V_2 \oplus U_2$ such that $F = F_V \oplus F_U$ for maps $F_V \colon V_1 \to V_2$ and $F_U \colon U_1 \to U_2$.

(iii) If $F(w) \in V_2$ for some $w \in W_1$ then there exists $v \in V_1$ such that $F(v) = F(w)$.

Proof. Clearly (i) implies (ii). To prove that (ii) implies (iii) suppose $w \in W_1$ and $F(w) \in V_2$. Then $w = v + u$ with $v \in V_1$, $u \in U_1$, and since $F(w) \in V_2$ we have $F(u) = F(w) - F(v) \in V_2 \cap U_2 = 0$, hence $F(v) = F(w)$.

Now assume (iii) holds and that we are given a splitting $W_1 = V_1 \oplus U_1$. We first show that (iii) implies that $\phi(U_1) \cap V_2 = 0$: Choose splittings of V_1 as $V_1' \oplus M_1$ where $M_1 = \ker F|_{V_1}$ and of U_1 as $N_1 \oplus U_1'$ where $N_1 = \ker F|_{U_1}$. If $F(u) \in V_2$ then by assumption there exists some $v \in V_1$ such that $F(u) = F(v)$. Write $u = u' + n$ and $v = v' + m$ with $u' \in U_1'$, $n \in N_1$, $v' \in V_1'$, and $m \in M_1$; then $u' - v' \in (U_1' \oplus V_1') \cap \ker F = 0$, so $u' = v'$. But $U_1' \cap V_1' = 0$ so we must have $u' = 0$, and so $F(u) = 0$. It follows that we can choose a complement U_2 of V_2 such that $F(U_1) \subseteq U_2$. By assumption $F(V_1) \subseteq V_2$ and so F splits as required. ☐

This gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a subspace of a restricted vector space to be a direct summand:

Lemma 4.6. A subspace V of a restricted vector space W is a direct summand of W if and only if $\phi(w) \in V$ implies that there exists $v \in V$ with $\phi(v) = \phi(w)$.

Proof. The condition is clearly necessary by Lemma 4.5. Suppose W satisfies the condition. Choose a splitting $W_1 = V_1 \oplus U_1$; since ϕ_1 satisfies condition (iii) of the previous lemma, we can choose a complement U_2 of V_2 in W_2 such that $\phi_1 = \phi_1^V \oplus \phi_1^W$ for $\phi_1^V \colon V_1 \to V_2$ and $\phi_1^W \colon W_1 \to W_2$. Next apply the lemma to ϕ_2 with the splitting $W_2 \cong V_2 \oplus U_2$, and so forth. By induction we get splittings $W_i \cong V_i \oplus U_i$ and $\phi_i \cong \phi_i^V \oplus \phi_i^W$ for all i — i.e. the U_i's form a subspace U of W and $W \cong V \oplus U$. ☐

Definition 4.7. Suppose W is a restricted vector space and V is a subspace of W. We define $\phi^{-1}V$ to be the subspace of W with

$$(\phi^{-1}V)^i := \{w \in W^i : \phi^n(w) \in V^{2^n i} \text{ for some } n\}.$$

By the previous lemma, this is a direct summand of W.

In particular, $\phi^{-1}0_V$ is always a direct summand of a restricted vector space V; clearly $\phi^{-1}0_V$ is a nilpotent restricted vector space, and the restriction maps for a complement of $\phi^{-1}0_V$ are injective. Thus we have proved the following:

Lemma 4.8. Any restricted vector space is a direct sum of a free restricted vector space and a nilpotent restricted vector space.

Now we prove that free and nilpotent restricted vector spaces have the expected decompositions:

Lemma 4.9. A free restricted vector space is a direct sum of $F(n)$'s.

Proof. Let V be a free restricted vector space; we'll show by induction that V can be written as $V_n' \oplus V_n''$ where V_n' is a direct sum of $F(i)$'s ($i < n$) and $V_n''^i = 0$ for $i < n$ — this will clearly imply the result.

This is obvious for $n = 0$ (take $V_0' = 0$ and $V_0'' = V$). Suppose we have such a decomposition for n. Pick a basis B for $(V_n'')^n$ and let $W = \oplus_{v \in B} F(n)$. The obvious map $W \to V_n''$ is injective since V_n'' is free. To see that W is a direct summand of V_n'' we show that it satisfies the condition of Lemma 4.6: Suppose v is an element of V_n'' such that $\phi(v)$ is in W; from the definition of W its restriction maps are surjective, hence there exists a $w \in W$ such that $\phi(w) = \phi(v)$. Therefore we can define $V_{n+1}' = V_n' \oplus W$ and let V_{n+1}'' be a complement of W in V_n''; we clearly have $(V_{n+1}'')^n = 0$. ☐

Lemma 4.10. A nilpotent restricted vector space is a direct sum of $T(n,k)$'s.

Proof. Let V be a nilpotent restricted vector space. We will prove by induction that we can write $V = V_n' \oplus V_{n,k}'' \oplus V_{n,k}'''$ where the subspace V_n' is a direct sum of $T(m,l)$'s with $m < n$, the subspace $V_{n,k}'$ is a direct sum of $T(n,l)$'s with $l < k$, and the subspace $V'''_{n,k}$ satisfies $(V'''_{n,k})^i = 0$ for $i < n$ and $\phi^j \colon (V''_{n,k})^n \to (V''_{n,k})^{2^j n}$ is injective for $j < k$; this will clearly imply the result.

Since V is nilpotent we must have $V^0 = 0$, so we start with $V'_1 = 0$, $V''_{1,1} = 0$ and $V'''_{1,1} = V$. Suppose we have such a decomposition for (n,k). Let B be a basis for the kernel of $\phi^k \colon (V'''_{n,k})^n \to (V'''_{n,k})^{2^k n}$ and define $W = \bigoplus_{v \in B} T(n,k)$; since ϕ^j is injective for $j < k$, the obvious map $W \to V'''_{n,k}$ is injective. By Lemma 4.6 the subspace W is a direct summand of $V'''_{n,k}$ because its restriction maps are surjective. Set $V''_{n,k+1} = V''_{n,k} \oplus W$ and let $V'''_{n,k+1}$ be a complement of W in $V'''_{n,k}$. This gives the desired decomposition for $(n, k+1)$.

Fixing n and inducting on k we see that we can write V as $V'_{n+1} \oplus V'''_{n+1,1}$ where V'_{n+1} is a direct sum of $T(m,l)$'s with $m \leq n$ and $(V'''_{n+1,1})^i = 0$ for $i \leq n$; taking $V''_{n+1,1} = 0$ this gives the decomposition for $(n+1, 1)$. □

Combining Lemmas 4.8, 4.9, and 4.10, we get:

Proposition 4.11. Any restricted vector space can be written as a direct sum of $F(n)$'s and $T(n,k)$'s.

Definition 4.12. A *basis* S of a restricted vector space consists of sets S^i of elements of V^i such that if $i = 2^r p$ with p odd, the set $(S^{2^r p} \cup \phi(S^{2^{r-1} p}) \cup \cdots \cup \phi^r(S^p)) \setminus \{0\}$ is a basis for V^i.

We can reformulate Proposition 4.11 as:

Corollary 4.13. Every restricted vector space has a basis.

Now we consider the structure of chain complexes of restricted vector spaces:

Definition 4.14. Let $C(q)$ be the chain complex with $F(q)$ in degree 0 and 0 elsewhere, and let $C(q,k)$ be the chain complex with $F(q)$ in degree 0 and $F(2^k q)$ in degree 1, with differential given by the inclusion $F(2^k q) \hookrightarrow F(q)$, and 0 elsewhere.

We clearly have

$$H_*(\Sigma^n C(q)) \cong \begin{cases} F(q), & * = n, \\ 0, & * \neq n, \end{cases}$$

$$H_*(\Sigma^n C(q,k)) \cong \begin{cases} T(q,k), & * = n, \\ 0, & * \neq n. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.15. Any chain complex of restricted vector spaces is quasi-isomorphic to a direct sum of $\Sigma^n F(q)$'s and $\Sigma^n C(q,k)$'s.

Proof. Let (V_*, d) be a chain complex of restricted vector spaces. Pick a basis S_i of $H_i(V_*)$. For $v \in S_i^q$ define W_v to be $\Sigma^i F(q)$ if $\phi^r v$ is never zero, and $\Sigma^i C(q,k)$ if $\phi^k v = 0$ but $\phi^r v \neq 0$ for $r < k$. Let $\hat{v}$ be a lift of v to V_i; in the first case $\hat{v}$ defines a map $\psi_v \colon W_v \to V_\bullet$. In the second case, since $\phi^k(v) = 0$ in $H_{2^k i}(V_*)$, we can pick $\hat{w} \in V_{i+1}$ such that $d(\hat{w}) = \phi^k(\hat{v})$; then $\hat{v}$ and $\hat{w}$ define a map ψ_v from W_v to $V_\bullet$. Let $W := \bigoplus_{v \in S} W_v$ and let $\phi \colon W \to V$ be $\bigoplus_{v \in S} \psi_v$. Then ψ is a quasi-isomorphism, since it is clear that on homology ψ_v induces the inclusion in $H_i(V_\bullet, d)$ of the subspace generated by v. □

By the Dold-Kan correspondence, the category $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{Restr})_{\geq 0}$ of non-negatively graded chain complexes of restricted vector spaces is equivalent to the category of simplicial restricted vector spaces.

Definition 4.16. Write $K[n,q]$ and $K[n,q,k]$ for the simplicial objects corresponding to $\Sigma^n F(q)$ and $\Sigma^n C(q,k)$, respectively, under this equivalence.

Corollary 4.17. Any simplicial restricted vector space is weakly homotopy equivalent to a coproduct of $K[n,q]$'s and $K[n,q,k]$'s.

4.2. **The Length Filtration Spectral Sequence for U.** If V is a restricted vector space, the *free unstable algebra* $U(V)$ on V is the free graded commutative algebra on V subject to the relation $x^2 = \phi(x)$, i.e. $S(V)/(x^2 = \phi(x))$. In this subsection we consider the spectral sequence associated to the word-length filtration for $U(V_\bullet)$ when $V_\bullet$ is a simplicial restricted vector space. This gives a description of $\pi_* U(V)$ as a bigraded vector space:

Proposition 4.18. In the spectral sequence associated to the word length filtration on $U(V)$, the E^∞-term is the bigraded algebra

$$E^\infty_{s,t} = \bigoplus_{p+q+r=s} E_r(\pi_0 V) \otimes ((\mathfrak{S}_p((\operatorname{coker} \pi_*\phi)_{>0}) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_q(\Sigma(\ker \pi_*\phi)_{>0}))_t.$$

Proof. The length filtration on $U(V)$ is clearly an exterior filtration, and the associated graded algebra is the free exterior algebra on V, graded by length. Thus the associated spectral sequence is of the form

$$\pi_t E_s(V) = \mathfrak{E}_s(\pi_* V)_t \Rightarrow \pi_t U(V).$$

Let S be a basis for the graded restricted vector space $\pi_* V$; then the (non-zero) elements $\phi^r(v)$ for $v \in S$ form a basis for $\pi_* V$ as a bigraded vector space. We know that $\pi_* E(V)$ is an exterior algebra with generators $\delta_I \phi^r(v)$ for $v \in S$ and I an admissible sequence, and $\pi_* E_p(V)$ is the subspace of elements of weight p in $\pi_* E(V)$.

The unit 1 must clearly survive to E^∞, so it cannot be hit by any differentials in the spectral sequence. Therefore there is no room for any non-zero differentials on the elements $x \in E^1_{1,*} = \pi_* V$, so these all survive to E^∞. It follows that $\delta_I x$ also survives to E^∞ where $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ is an admissible sequence where $i_k \geq 2$ by Lemma 3.35.

By Lemma 3.38 we have $d^1 \delta_1 x = \phi(x)$. If $x \in \ker \phi$ the element $\delta_1 x$ therefore survives to E^∞, since nothing can hit 1 and there are no other non-zero groups in lower filtrations. Thus $\delta_I \delta_1 x$ also survives to E^∞ when $\phi(x) = 0$.

Now consider the differentials on $\delta_I \delta_1 x$ where $\phi(x) \neq 0$ and $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ is an admissible sequence of excess $< |v|$ with $i_k \geq 2$. Let $I_r = (i_r, i_{r+1}, \ldots, i_k)$, let m be maximal such that δ_{i_m} is a top operation, and set $q = k - m$. Then by Lemma 3.35 the element $\delta_I \delta_1 x$ survives to E^{2^q} and (as $d^1 \delta_1 x = \phi(x)$)

$$d^{2^q}[\delta_I \delta_1 x] = [(\delta_{I_2} \delta_1 x)(\delta_{I_3} \delta_1 x) \cdots (\delta_{I_{m+1}} \delta_1 x)(\delta_{I_{m+1}} \phi(x))]$$

by Lemma 3.38. This is non-zero in E^{2^q}, since we know it was not hit by any lower differentials, so $\delta_I \delta_1 x$ dies if $\phi(x) \neq 0$. Note also that the classes that are hit by differentials are all those of the form $\delta_I \phi(x)$, as well as certain products having these as a factor.

In terms of the basis S, we see that the elements $\phi^r(v)$ for $v \in S$ are hit by differentials, as are all the elements $\delta_I\phi^r(v)$ where $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ is an admissible sequence with $i_k \geq 2$. On the other hand, all the elements $\delta^1\phi^r(v)$ die, except when $\phi^{r+1}(v) = 0$, and similarly with δ-operations on these.

An additive basis for $\pi_*E(V)$ is given by products of non-zero elements $\delta_I\phi^r(v)$ with $v \in S$. Since the spectral sequence is multiplicative, it is easy to see that the basis elements that survive to E^∞ are those that are products of the following generators:

(i) $\delta_I v$ with $v \in S$ of homotopical degree > 0 and $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ an admissible sequence with $i_k \geq 2$,

(ii) $\delta_I\delta_1\phi^r v$ with $v \in S$ of homotopical degree > 0 such that $\phi^{r+1}v = 0$ and $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ an admissible sequence with $i_k \geq 2$,

(iii) v in S of homotopical degree 0 (since there are no operations on π_0).

Comparing with the computation of $\mathfrak{S}$, we see that these are the generators of $E(\pi_0 V) \otimes \mathfrak{S}(\operatorname{coker} \pi_*\phi)_{>0}) \otimes \mathfrak{S}(\Sigma(\ker \pi_*\phi)_{>0})$, with grading giving the claimed description of the E^∞-term. □

4.3. **Computation of the Derived Functors of U.**

We are now ready to compute $\pi_*U(M)$ for any simplicial restricted vector space M. By Corollary 4.17 we know that M decomposes as a coproduct of $K[n,q]$'s and $K[n,q,k]$'s. Our first observation is that U preserves colimits, so this carries over to a decomposition of $U(M)$:

Proposition 4.19. *U, considered as a functor from restricted vector spaces to graded commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras, preserves colimits.*

Proof. Let U' denote U, regarded as a functor from restricted vector spaces to augmented graded commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras. The forgetful functor from augmented algebras to algebras preserves colimits, so it suffices to show that U' preserves colimits. Let Q denote the augmentation ideal functor for augmented graded commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras, regarded as a functor to restricted vector spaces with restriction maps given by squaring. Then Q is right adjoint to U', so U' preserves colimits. □

Thus for any simplicial restricted vector space M, the simplicial graded vector space $U(M)$ is a tensor product of $U(K[n,q])$'s and $U(K[n,q,k])$'s. To compute $\pi_*U(M)$ it therefore suffices to compute $\pi_*U(K[n,q])$ and $\pi_*U(K[n,q,k])$.

Lemma 4.20. *For $q > 0$ we have $UK[n,q] \cong S(K\mathbb{F}_2[n,q])$, and so $\pi_*UK[n,q] \cong \mathfrak{S}\mathbb{F}_2[n,q]$.*

Proof. From the definition of K above, we have

$$K[n,q]_i = \bigoplus_{[i]\twoheadrightarrow[n]} F(q)$$

and so for $q > 0$

$$UK[n,q]_i = \bigotimes_{[i]\twoheadrightarrow[n]} UF(q) = \bigotimes_{[i]\twoheadrightarrow[n]} S\mathbb{F}_2[q] = S(\bigoplus_{[i]\twoheadrightarrow[n]} \mathbb{F}_2[q]) = S(K\mathbb{F}_2[n,q])_i,$$

and the simplicial structure maps in $UK[n,q]$ and $SK\mathbb{F}_2[n,q]$ are also clearly the same (since on "components" they are either the identity or zero). These simplicial graded vector spaces are thus isomorphic, giving

$$\pi_*UK[n,q] \cong \pi_*SK\mathbb{F}_2[n,q] \cong \mathfrak{S}(\pi_*K\mathbb{F}_2[n,q]) \cong \mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{F}_2[n,q]).$$ □

For the case $q = 0$ we need the notion of a *Boolean algebra*:

Definition 4.21. A commutative algebra A is *Boolean* if $x^2 = x$ for all $x \in A$. If V is a vector space, we write $b(V)$ for the free Boolean algebra $s(V)/(x^2 = x)$ on V, and we write $\mathfrak{b}\colon \text{grVect} \to \text{grVect}$ for the functor such that $\pi_* b(X) = \mathfrak{b}(\pi_* X)$ for X a simplicial vector space.

Lemma 4.22. Suppose W is a graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space. Then

$$\mathfrak{b}(W)_* = \begin{cases} b(W_0), & * = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let X be a simplicial vector space, and consider the spectral sequence associated to the word length filtration of $b(X)$. This is an exterior filtration, so this spectral sequence is of the form

$$\pi_t e_s(X) = \mathfrak{e}_s(\pi_* X)_t \Rightarrow \pi_t b(X).$$

By Lemma 3.38 for $x \in \pi_* X$ in degree > 0 we have $d^1 \delta_1 x = x^2 = x$. Since the δ_i's for $i > 1$ are compatible with the differentials and the spectral sequence is multiplicative it follows that all elements of $\pi_t e_s(X)$ die, except for those in degree zero. Thus $\pi_* b(X) = 0$ for $* > 0$. $\square$

Lemma 4.23. $UK[n,0] \cong b(K\mathbb{F}_2[n])[0]$, and so $\pi_* UK[n,0] = \begin{cases} (b\mathbb{F}_2)[0,0], & n = 0, \\ 0, & n \neq 0. \end{cases}$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4.20 we have

$$UK[n,0]_i = \bigotimes_{[i] \twoheadrightarrow [n]} UF(0) = \bigotimes_{[i] \twoheadrightarrow [n]} b(\mathbb{F}_2)[0] = b\Big(\bigoplus_{[i] \twoheadrightarrow [n]} \mathbb{F}_2\Big)[0] = b(K\mathbb{F}_2[n])[0]_i,$$

and the simplicial structure maps are again the same. Thus we get $\pi_* UK[n,0] \cong \pi_* b(K\mathbb{F}_2[n])[0] \cong \mathfrak{b}(\mathbb{F}_2[n])[0]$, which gives the result by Lemma 4.22. $\square$

There is a cofibration sequence

$$F(q)[n] \to C(q,k)[n] \to F(2^k q)[n+1]$$

of chain complexes of restricted vector spaces. By the Dold-Kan correspondence this gives a cofibration sequence

$$K[n,q] \to K[n,q,k] \to K[n+1, 2^k q]$$

of simplicial restricted vector spaces, and so a cofibration sequence

$$U(K[n,q]) \to U(K[n,q,k]) \to U(K[n+1, 2^k q])$$

of simplicial commutative $\mathbb{F}_2$-algebras by Proposition 4.19. We want to apply the "Serre spectral sequence" of Corollary 3.51 to this cofibration sequence to compute $\pi_* U(K[n,q,k])$; for this we need to know that the map $U(K[n,q]) \to U(K[n,q,k])$ is a cofibration:

Lemma 4.24. The map $U(K[n,q]) \to U(K[n,q,k])$ is almost-free, and hence a cofibration of simplicial graded commutative algebras.

Proof. We have

$$K[n,q,k]_i = \bigoplus_{[i]\twoheadrightarrow[n]} F(q) \oplus \bigoplus_{[i]\twoheadrightarrow[n+1]} F(2^k q)$$

and $UK[n,q,k]_i \cong UK[n,q]_i \otimes S(V_i)$ where $V_i = \bigoplus_{[i]\twoheadrightarrow[n+1]} \mathbb{F}_2[2^k q]$. It is clear that the simplicial structure maps ψ^* take $UK[q,n]_*$ to itself, and are induced by maps between the V_n's except when ψ is such that for some β and γ we have $\beta\psi = d^0\gamma$, since this is the case when the differential in the chain complex occurs in the definition of ψ^* for $K[n,q,k]$).

But the map $\beta\colon [i] \to [n+1]$ is surjective and order-preserving, so it must send 0 to 0. Thus $\beta\psi$ will hit 0 in $[n+1]$ for all β if ψ hits 0 in $[i]$, in which case $\beta\psi$ cannot be of the form $d^0\gamma$. This is clearly the case for the degeneracies $s^j\colon [i+1] \to [i]$ for all j (as they are surjective) and the face maps $d^j\colon [i-1] \to [i]$ for $j \neq 0$. ☐

Proposition 4.25. $\pi_* U(K[n,q,k]) \cong \mathfrak{S}\mathbb{F}_2[n,q] \otimes \mathfrak{S}\mathbb{F}_2[n+1, 2^k q]$.

Proof. We apply the "Serre spectral sequence" of Corollary 3.51 to the cofibration sequence

$$U(K[n,q]) \to U(K[n,q,k]) \to U(K[n+1,2^k q]).$$

This gives a multiplicative spectral sequence of the form

$$E^2_{s,t} = \pi_s(UK[n+1,2^k q]) \otimes \pi_{t-s}(UK[n,q]) \Rightarrow \pi_t(UK[n,q,k]).$$

Comparing this E^2-term with the description of $\pi_* U(K[n,q,k])$ as a bigraded vector space from Proposition 4.18, we see (by dimension-counting) that there can't be any non-zero differentials. Thus this spectral sequence collapses at the E^2-page.

This implies that the map $\pi_* UK[n,q,k] \to \pi_* UK[n+1, 2^k q]$ is surjective. Since $\pi_* UK[n+1, 2^k q]$ is free, choosing a pre-image of the generator gives a map

$$\pi_* UK[n,q] \otimes \pi_* UK[n+1, 2^k q] \to UK[n,q,k]$$

of algebras over the monad $\mathfrak{S}$. This is an isomorphism of bigraded vector spaces, so it must be an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{S}$-algebras. ☐

Corollary 4.26. If M is a simplicial restricted vector space, then

$$\pi_* U(M) \cong U(\pi_0 M)[0] \otimes \mathfrak{S}(\operatorname{coker} \pi_{>0}\phi) \otimes \mathfrak{S}(\Sigma(\ker \pi_{>0}\phi)).$$

If the simplicial restricted vector space M comes from a simplicial unstable A-module we can recover the action of the Steenrod algebra on $\pi_* U(M)$ by the following result of Dwyer:

Proposition 4.27 (Dwyer, [Dwy80b, Proposition 2.7])**.** Let R be a simplicial unstable algebra over the Steenrod algebra; then $\pi_* R$ supports both higher divided squares and Steenrod operations. These are related as follows:

$$\mathrm{Sq}^k \delta_i = \begin{cases} 0, & k \text{ odd}, \\ \delta_i \mathrm{Sq}^{k/2}, & k \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Write $\mathrm{Sq} := \mathrm{Sq}^0 + \mathrm{Sq}^1 + \cdots$. By the Cartan formula, $\mathrm{Sq}\colon R \to R$ is an algebra homomorphism. Since the operation δ_i is natural, this means $\delta_i \mathrm{Sq} = \mathrm{Sq}\delta_i$ in $\pi_* R$. Considering the homogeneous parts in each internal degree on both sides gives the result. ☐

5. EXAMPLE: SUSPENSION SPECTRA

From our work in the previous section we now have a concrete description of the E^2-term of our spectral sequence for $\mathrm{H}^*(\Omega^\infty X)$ in terms of the derived functors of destabilization applied to $\mathrm{H}^* X$. These derived functors have been studied by Singer [Sin80, Sin81], Goerss [Goe86], Lannes and Zarati [LZ87], and others. In this section we consider the spectral sequence in a simple example where these derived functors can be computed, namely suspension spectra. For this we use the computation of $\mathbb{L}_*(D)$ for unstable A-modules by Lannes and Zarati; before we recall this we first introduce some notation:

Definition 5.1. Suppose M is an A-module. Its *double* ΦM is the A-module with

$$(\Phi M)_s = \begin{cases} M_i, & s = 2i, \\ 0, & s = 2i+1. \end{cases}$$

with A-action defined by $\mathrm{Sq}^{2k}\Phi x = \Phi \mathrm{Sq}^k x$, $\mathrm{Sq}^{2k+1}\Phi x = 0$.

Theorem 5.2 (Lannes-Zarati [LZ87])**.** Suppose M is an unstable A-module. Then (as graded vector spaces) we have

$$\mathbb{L}_s D(M) \cong \Sigma^{2^s(s-1)+1}(L_s \otimes \Phi^s M)$$

where L_s is a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[c_{s,s-1}, \ldots, c_{s,0}]$ with $|c_{s,j}| = 2^s - 2^j$.

The A-module structure on $\mathbb{L}_s D(M)$ is not a suspension of the A-module structure on $L_s \otimes \Phi^s M$; however, it is still a suspension, so all the restriction maps in $\mathbb{L}_* D(M)$ are zero for $s > 0$. By Corollary 4.26 we therefore have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{L}_*(UD)(M) \cong U(M)[0] \otimes \mathfrak{E}(\mathbb{L}_{*>0}(D)(M)).$$

Thus if E is a spectrum such that $\mathrm{H}^* E$ is an unstable A-algebra, in the E^2-term of our spectral sequence for $\mathrm{H}^*(\Omega^\infty E)$ an element $v \in \mathrm{H}^k E$ gives:

- $c_{s,0}^{a_1} \cdots c_{s,s-1}^{a_s} \otimes \sigma^{2^s(s-1)+1}\Phi^s v$ in degree
  $$(-s, \quad a_1(2^s-1) + \cdots + a_s(2^s - 2^{s-1}) + 2^s(s-1) + 1 + 2^s k).$$
  For brevity we'll denote this element by $[a_1, \ldots, a_s](v)$. Its total degree is
  $$(a_1 + s - 1)(2^s - 1) + a_2(2^s - 2) + \cdots + a_s(2^s - 2^{s-1}).$$
- For I an admissible sequence of length l, $\delta_I[a_1, \ldots, a_s](v)$ in degree
  $$(-s - |I|, \quad 2^l(a_1(2^s-1) + \cdots + a_s(2^s - 2^{s-1}) + 2^s(s-1) + 1 + 2^s k)).$$
  Its total degree is
  $$2^{s+l}k + a_1(2^{s+l} - 2^l) + a_2(2^{s+l} - 2^{l+1}) + \cdots \\ + a_s(2^{s+l} - 2^{s+l-1}) + 2^{s+l}(s-1) + 2^l - s - |I|.$$

Products of these objects, as v runs over a basis for $\mathrm{H}^* E$, give additive generators of the E_2-page.

Suppose X is a connected space whose $\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology is finite in each degree, so that $\mathrm{H}^* X \cong (\mathrm{H}_*(X))^\vee$. In this case the spectral sequence for $\Sigma^\infty X$ converges by Theorem 2.4; we will show that it in fact collapses at E_2-page by comparing this to $\mathrm{H}^*(QX) \cong (\mathrm{H}_*(QX))^\vee$.

Recall that for a space X, the homology $\mathrm{H}_*(QX)$ can be described in terms of the Dyer-Lashof operations Q^j:

Theorem 5.3 (May [CLM76])**.** If X is a space, the homology $\mathrm{H}_*(QX)$ is a polynomial algebra on generators $Q^J v$ where v ranges over a basis of $\mathrm{H}_*(X)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_s)$ is an *allowable* sequence, meaning $j_t \leq 2j_{t+1}$ for all t, and $j_1 > j_2 + \dots + j_s + |v|$. The element $Q^J v$ is in degree $|v| + j_1 + \dots + j_s$.

If $v \in \mathrm{H}_k(X)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_s)$ is an allowable sequence, then for $Q^J v$ to be non-zero (and not a square) in $\mathrm{H}_*(QX)$ we must have, for positive integers $l_1, \dots, l_s$:

$$\begin{gathered} j_s = k + l_s, \\ j_{s-1} = k + j_s + l_{s-1} = 2k + l_s + l_{s-1}, \\ j_{s-2} = k + j_s + j_{s-1} + l_{s-2} = 4k + 2l_s + l_{s-1} + l_{s-2}, \\ \dots \\ j_1 = k + j_s + \cdots + j_2 + l_1 = 2^{s-1}k + 2^{s-2}l_s + \cdots + 2l_3 + l_2 + l_1. \end{gathered}$$

In other words,

$$j_i = 2^{s-i}k + \sum_{j=i+1}^{s} 2^{j-i-1} l_j + l_i.$$

Observe that the degree of $Q^J v$ is $2^s k + \sum_{j=1}^{s} 2^{j-1} l_j$. The allowability condition, expressed in terms of the l_i's, says that $l_i \leq l_{i+1}$. Thus there exist non-negative integers $a_1, \dots, a_s$ (with $a_1 > 0$) such that $l_{i+1} = l_i + a_{i+1}$ (and $l_1 = a_1$). In terms of the a_i's the element $Q^J v$ has degree

$$2^s k + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{j} 2^{j-1} a_r = 2^s k + \sum_{r=1}^{s} \left(\sum_{j=r}^{s} 2^{j-1} \right) a_r = 2^s k + \sum_{r=1}^{s} (2^s - 2^{r-1}) a_r.$$

Let's write $\{a_1, \dots, a_s\}(v)$ for the element $Q^J v$ with J of this form; then $\mathrm{H}^*(QX)$ is a polynomial algebra on $\{a_1, \dots, a_s\}(v)$ where $a_1 > 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$ for $i \neq 1$.

Writing $\{0, a_2, \dots, a_s\}(v)$ for $(\{a_2, \dots, a_s\}(v))^2$, etc., we can relate these generators to generators of the E_2-term of our spectral sequence. Observe that the total degree of $[a_1, \dots, a_s](v)$ in E_2 equals the degree of $\{a_1 + (s-1), a_2, \dots, a_s\}(v)$. We next check that the δ-operations precisely account for the generators $\{a_1, \dots, a_s\}(v)$ where $a_1 < s - 1$:

Lemma 5.4. *$\delta_I[a_1, \dots, a_s](v)$ has the same degree as*

$$\{s - i_1 + i_2, i_1 - 2i_2, i_2 - 2i_3, \dots, i_{l-1} - 2i_l, a_1 + i_l - 1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}(v)$$

where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ is an admissible sequence.

Proof. Since I is admissible, there exist non-negative integers r_t such that $i_l = r_l \geq 1$ and $i_t = 2i_{t+1} + r_t$ for $t < l$; in terms of the r_t's the admissibility criterion says that $r_1 + \cdots + r_l \leq s$. The degree of $\delta_I[a_0, \dots, a_s](v)$ is

$$2^{s+l}k + \sum_{j=1}^{s} (2^{s+l} - 2^{l+j-1}) a_j + (2^{s+l} - 1)s - (2^{s+l} - 2^l) - |I|$$

In terms of the r_t's, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I| &= \sum_{j=1}^{l} i_j = \sum_{j=1}^{l}\sum_{t=j}^{l} 2^{t-j} r_t = \sum_{t=1}^{l}\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} 2^j r_t = \sum_{t=0}^{l}(2^t - 1) r_t \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{l}\Big((2^{s+l}-1) - (2^{s+l}-2^t)\Big) r_t \\ &= (2^{s+l}-1)\sum_{t=1}^{l} r_t - \sum_{t=1}^{l}(2^{s+l}-2^t) r_t.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\delta_I[a_0, \dots, a_s](v)$ has the same degree as

$$\{s - \sum_{t=1}^{l} r_t, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{l-1}, a_1 + r_l - 1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}(v),$$

which is the object in the statement expressed in terms of the r_t's. ☐

This gives a map from the (additive) generators of the E_2-term to those of $H_*(QX)$; to see that this is an isomorphism we describe its inverse, as follows: Suppose $\{b_1, \dots, b_\sigma\}(v) \in H_*(QX)$. Let L be the unique integer with $0 \le L < \sigma$ such that

$$b_1 + \cdots + b_L + L < \sigma \le b_1 + \cdots + b_{L+1} + L + 1.$$

Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} s &:= \sigma - L, \\ r_L &:= s - b_1 - \cdots - b_L - L, \\ r_t &:= b_{t+1}, \qquad t = 1, \dots, L-1, \\ a_1 &:= b_{L+1} - r_L + 1 \\ a_i &:= b_{L+i}, \qquad i = 2, \dots, s \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\{b_1, \dots, b_\sigma\}(v) = \{s - L - \sum_{t=1}^{l} r_t, r_1, \dots, r_{L-1}, a_1 + r_L - 1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}(v)$$

which corresponds to $\delta_I[a_1, \dots, a_s](v)$ where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_L)$ is the admissible sequence determined by the r_t's, i.e. with $i_t := \sum_{j=t}^{L} 2^{j-t} r_j$.

Thus we have proved:

Proposition 5.5. For X a connected space whose cohomology is finite in each degree the spectral sequence $\mathbb{L}_*(UD)(\mathrm{H}^*X) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(QX)$ collapses at the E_2-page.

REFERENCES

[Bou67] A. K. Bousfield, *Operations on derived functors of non-additive functors* (1967). Unpublished.

[Bou79] ———, *The localization of spectra with respect to homology*, Topology **18** (1979), no. 4, 257–281.

[Bou87] ———, *On the homology spectral sequence of a cosimplicial space*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), no. 2, 361–394.

[BK72] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. MR0365573 (51 #1825)

[Car54] H. Cartan, *Puissances divisées*, Séminaire Henri Cartan **7** (1954–1955), no. 1, exp. no. 7, available at `http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SHC_1954-1955__7_1_A7_0`.

[CLM76] Frederick R. Cohen, Thomas J. Lada, and J. Peter May, *The homology of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 533, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.

[Dol58] Albrecht Dold, *Homology of symmetric products and other functors of complexes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 54–80.

[DP61] Albrecht Dold and Dieter Puppe, *Homologie nicht-additiver Funktoren. Anwendungen*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble **11** (1961), 201–312.

[Dwy80a] W. G. Dwyer, *Homotopy operations for simplicial commutative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), no. 2, 421–435.

[Dwy80b] ———, *Higher divided squares in second-quadrant spectral sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), no. 2, 437–447, DOI 10.2307/1998013. MR574790 (81f:55022)

[Goe86] Paul G. Goerss, *Unstable projectives and stable* Ext*: with applications*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **53** (1986), no. 3, 539–561.

[Goe90] ———, *On the André-Quillen cohomology of commutative* $\mathbb{F}_2$*-algebras*, Astérisque **186** (1990), 169.

[GL95] Paul G. Goerss and Thomas J. Lada, *Relations among homotopy operations for simplicial commutative algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 9, 2637–2641.

[Kan58] Daniel M. Kan, *Functors involving c.s.s. complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1958), 330–346.

[LZ87] Jean Lannes and Saïd Zarati, *Sur les foncteurs dérivés de la déstabilisation*, Math. Z. **194** (1987), no. 1, 25–59.

[Mil84] Haynes Miller, *The Sullivan conjecture on maps from classifying spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **120** (1984), no. 1, 39–87.

[Mil85] ———, *Correction to: "The Sullivan conjecture on maps from classifying spaces"*, Ann. of Math. (2) **121** (1985), no. 3, 605–609.

[Qui67] Daniel G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.

[Rez02] Charles Rezk, *Every homotopy theory of simplicial algebras admits a proper model*, Topology Appl. **119** (2002), no. 1, 65–94.

[SS03] Stefan Schwede and Brooke Shipley, *Equivalences of monoidal model categories*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **3** (2003), 287–334 (electronic).

[Ser53] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Cohomologie modulo* 2 *des complexes d'Eilenberg-MacLane*, Comment. Math. Helv. **27** (1953), 198–232.

[Sin80] William M. Singer, *Iterated loop functors and the homology of the Steenrod algebra. II. A chain complex for* $\Omega_s^k M$, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **16** (1980), no. 1, 85–97.

[Sin81] ———, *A new chain complex for the homology of the Steenrod algebra*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **90** (1981), no. 2, 279–292.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS, USA
E-mail address: `haugseng@math.mit.edu`
URL: `http://math.mit.edu/~haugseng`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS, USA
E-mail address: `hrm@math.mit.edu`
URL: `http://math.mit.edu/~hrm`